

131576935

APPLICANT: COUSIN BIOTECH - SMART HOSPITAL - PETRINI Piero  
IAP20 Reg. NO. 10 24 APR 2006

TITLE: AN INTER-LAMINAR SUPPORT

U.S. COMPLETION OF

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PCT/FR 2004/002727 FILED 22/10/2004

**VERIFICATION OF A TRANSLATION**

I, Barbara MESLIEN, the below named translator, hereby declare that:

My name and post office address are as stated below:

That I am knowledgeable in the English language and in the language in which the below-identified international application was filed, and that I believe the English translation of the international application No. PCT/FR2004/002727

is a true and complete translation of the above-identified international application as filed.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Date: 10/04/2006

Full name of Translator:

Barbara MESLIEN

Signature of Translator:



Post Office Address:

158, rue de l'Université  
75340 PARIS Cédex 07

100-1006935  
1 APR 2006 24 APR 2006

#### AN INTER-LAMINAR SUPPORT

The present invention relates to the technical field of vertebral prostheses for acting between two adjacent vertebrae to redistribute the overloading created by 5 degeneration of the disk, without preventing articular movements from taking place, and leaving the possibility of following the movements of the spine.

Prostheses comprising a portion made of deformable material are already known. In French patent No. 2 623 085 10 in the name of Francis Bréard, there is described a kind of spacer having two ends and suitable for being inserted between the spinous processes of two adjacent vertebrae. The spacer is held by means of ligaments passing through lateral holes.

15 A prosthesis of a very similar design is described in European patent No. 0 322 334 to inventor Jean-Jacques Bronsard. One or more hollow resilient cylindrical pads are described therein as being interposed between the spinous processes of two adjacent vertebrae, and as being 20 secured by means of a ligament passing through the pads. Other inter-process prostheses of a variety of shapes are described in French patents Nos. 2 717 675 and 2 775 183 to Dr. Jean Taylor.

Although those known devices provide results that are 25 advantageous in terms of disk spacing, by being secured between spinous processes, they nevertheless suffer from drawbacks that are not negligible since they do not provide any means for recovering the ability to support loads that are appropriate to physiological requirements. The 30 absorption of load transmission between vertebrae has until now remained partial only.

Since such prostheses interposed between the spinous processes are off-center relative to the center of gravity of the vertebrae bodies, which carry the maximum load, 35 whereas the major fraction of the load passes via an axis situated in the centers of the vertebral bodies.

The first disadvantage of such prior devices is that only a portion of the load is absorbed by the prosthesis, thus preventing it from having a damping function that is fully effective.

5 The second disadvantage is that the articular mobility of prostheses of that type is small, with full control over flexing, extension, and rotation of the spine then being substantially limited.

10 The third disadvantage is that those known devices are all invasive since it is necessary to remove the healthy posterior ligament or to damage the adjacent lateral muscles in order to put them in place.

15 The vertebral implant of the present invention remedies such drawbacks by means of its materials, its functional aspect, and its shapes specifically adapted to providing effective damping as close to possible to the vertebral canal. The presence of flexible resilient bodies for insertion between two adjacent vertebrae in the space between the under- and overlying laminae beside the region 20 fitted with the implant, stabilizes the support in an anterior/posterior direction by using integral retaining means.

25 One of the numerous advantages of the inter-laminar support is to bring the support point to the posterior arc, i.e. to the place where the load is at its greatest.

30 The bearing point of the functional unit, on which the load absorbed by the spine is concentrated while it is in movement, is positioned gradually towards the posterior portion of the medulary canal and is situated exactly in the inter-laminar zone away from the articular facets of the vertebrae, as close as possible to the medulary center for distributing the forces accommodated during movements 35 of the spinal column.

35 The distance between the axis of said resilient body and the center of gravity of the vertebral body is then considerably reduced, compared with the above-described prior art devices.

Another advantageous function of this inter-laminar implant is to restore and maintain a satisfactory disk spacing and to provide better damping of the forces acting at this level, providing better relief for the  
5 intervertebral disk.

The constituent materials and the shapes of the inter-laminar support enable it to be put into place between the laminae of the vertebrae of the posterior portion of the vertebral column, and most particularly in the dorso-lumbar, and lumbo-sacral regions, with its shape adapting  
10 to the anatomical variations in the regions concerned.

The pliability and the flexibility of the materials from which the intervertebral support is made makes it possible to retain a hinge point allowing for three-dimensional mobility, while providing an anatomical  
15 intervertebral space that is stable.

Its compact size makes it possible to reduce the amount of healthy ligament and muscle holding the articular facets that needs to be removed. While the implant is  
20 being put into place, the preparation space is restricted to a minimum that can accept the thrust from the implant between the laminae in the region fitted with the implant, while leaving a maximum amount of tissue intact. The implant is micro-invasive.

25 The invention consists in an intervertebral support enabling an anatomical intervertebral space to be maintained and restoring three-dimensional mobility to the region fitted with the implant, and it comprises a spacer with retaining means. The invention comprises two zones.

30 A posterior zone provides mobility and damping in the region fitted with the implant. It comprises retaining means serving to prevent the support migrating towards the anterior portion of the spine, by pressing against the laminae. An anterior zone, suitable for being received  
35 between the laminae of the vertebrae restores an anatomical intervertebral spacing.

Retaining means, constituted by lateral shoulders, transverse projections on the top and bottom faces of the implant, and grooves molded in the anterior zone, enable the implant to be held in place and kept pressed in abutment at the junction between the laminae and the processes. This makes it possible to prevent the support from migrating towards the anterior portion of the spine.

The lateral shoulders of the posterior zone may be constituted by large symmetrically-opposite areas, set back from the anterior zone and suitable for being received against the laminae of the vertebrae as close as possible to the articular facets. The shoulders may also have small area, being of the type constituted by projecting bulges that are symmetrically opposite and set back from the anterior zone, being suitable for releasing movement of the vertebral articular facets.

The height of the lateral shoulders does not exceed the greatest height of the posterior zone of the support and they are narrow in width compared with the support taken as a whole.

The posterior zone includes a bottom face that is carried on the top portion of the underlying process.

In a variant design, this posterior zone serving to damp movements between two adjacent vertebrae is made to have a prismatic shape of height corresponding to the spacing between the adjacent vertebrae, with at least one corner thereof being rounded, the top face of the posterior zone of the spacer being triangular in shape, so as to receive the tip of the junction formed by the laminar and the process. This shape gives stability between the vertebrae over- and underlying said region fitted with the implant.

In another design, the posterior zone provides freedom of movement between the top face of the spacer and the process above the region fitted with the implant, because of the tapering shape of the posterior zone.

The posterior zone of the device presents top and bottom surfaces that are flared in their anterior portions, going as far as the transverse projections, and tapering progressively towards the extreme posterior portions of  
5 said surfaces, and receiving the junction point formed by the laminar at the process.

The core of the posterior portion can be pierced by a through recess enabling the flexibility of the assembly to be increased.

10 The core of the posterior portion may support teeth that are spaced apart by furrows, the teeth being opposite in pairs, on the bottom and top faces, enabling the flexibility of the assembly to be varied.

15 The vertical portions of the shoulders in contact with the laminae present zones that are sufficiently concave and tapering towards the posterior lateral zone of the device to release space for the articular facets.

20 The material enabling the modulus of elasticity to be defined is silicone, having hardness in the range 40 to 80 on the Shore A scale. It enables the modulus of elasticity to be defined that is adapted to the stresses that arise while nevertheless serving, at least in part, to allow freedom of movement to the region fitted with the implant.  
25 At least the posterior zone thereof is made of silicone.

25 In a variant design, the invention has additional retaining means constituted by ligaments, and where appropriate by holes extending vertically through the implant to pass the ligaments. These ligaments may be independent or crossed and they pass through the support  
30 over its entire length or width. Holes are provided for them to pass through.

The support may be coated in part with a biocompatible knit fabric while leaving the anterior portion bare to avoid fibrosis beside healthy tissue.

35 The anterior portion of the support includes in its core a loop of rigid biocompatible material. In a variant

design, the anterior portion is constituted entirely out of rigid biocompatible material.

In its middle and extending lengthwise, the posterior zone presents a shallow groove suitable for coming into contact with the process above the region fitted with the implant.

The accompanying drawings given by way of non-limiting indication are described in greater detail.

Figure 1 is an end view of the anterior face of the invention.

Figure 2 is a side view.

Figure 3 is a perspective view.

Figure 4 is a plan view in which the implant presents passages for ligaments.

Figure 5 is a view of the implant in accordance with the invention, provided with ligaments, after being put into place between two vertebrae.

Figure 6 is a perspective view.

Figure 7 is a perspective view.

Figure 8 is a perspective view in section on plane AA'.

In an embodiment, the support is made of silicone having hardness lying in the range 40 to 80 on the Shore A scale, or it is made of polyethylene at the support surfaces for the laminae.

The support is made of biocompatible material, allowing a certain amount of movement along all axes, so as to adapt to the complex movements of the vertebrae. Silicone can vary the damping effect of the implant. Such a support can be obtained by injection molding silicone of a medical grade that is implantable at more than thirty days.

In a preferred embodiment, the implant is obtained by overmolding silicone around a loop (12) of polyetheretherketone or of biocompatible metal that is disposed in the center of the anterior zone (1).

Ideally, the support is incorporated and self-supporting between the medullary center and the articular axis of the spinal column, as close as possible to the medullary canal but without being in contact with the dura mater. The anterior face (1) of the support remains as bare silicone so as to avoid fibrosis, thus enabling the implant to be located close to the dura mater. The remainder of the support or implant is covered in a biocompatible knit fabric.

In a particular embodiment, the posterior portion (2) of the support is prismatic and comprises a support surface (3) in abutment against the laminae (L) projecting from the anterior portion (1) so as to avoid any possibility of the anterior portion (1) moving towards the medullary canal.

The top face (4) of the posterior zone of the spacer is flared so as to receive the junction point (J) formed by the laminar (L) and the process (E). The bottom face (5) bears against the top portion of the underlying process (E).

The edge (10) of the posterior portion (2) presents a rounded angle. The vertical portion (6) of the bearing surface (3) presents a zone (7) that is sufficiently concave to release the space of the articular facets.

The retaining means, adapted to the inter-laminar space and enabling optimum adaptation of the implant comprise two transverse projections (8) molded in the silicone body, one on the top face (4) of the implant and the other on the bottom face (5) of the implant, together with two grooves (3a and 3b) in the anterior zone (1). In alternative embodiments, the retaining means, or lateral shoulders, are broad symmetrically-opposite surfaces (13) set back from the anterior zone.

The implant can thus be positioned without using a ligament at the junction (J) between the laminae and the processes. The surgeon should initially make two notches in the processes in order to receive the projections and thus prevent the support from moving rearwards.

In a variant design, the core of the posterior portion 2) is pierced by a through recess (15), enabling the assembly to be made more flexible.

5 In another variant design, the core of the posterior portion supports teeth (16) spaced apart by furrows (17) that are opposite in pairs on the top and bottom surfaces and that enable the flexibility of the assembly to be varied.

10 In a variant design, crossed ligaments (9a, 9b) pass through the middle of the support, holes (11) being provided in its vertical direction. The first ligament is secured to the loop of the second ligament, situated at the base of the support, and vice versa. Each of them goes round one of the processes above or below the level 15 containing the implant.

Another variant design consists in a shallow groove (14) being molded lengthwise in the middle of the top face (4) of the posterior zone (2).

20 Naturally, numerous variants could be implemented, in particular by substituting analogous means, without thereby going beyond the ambit of the invention.